

**Short-Form Video Consumption and Sustained Attention
in Undergraduate Students: A Cross-Sectional Investigation
with Methodological and Theoretical Discussion**

Alex Morgan

Honors Thesis Program, Department of Psychology

Midwestern State University

PSY 499: Senior Honors Thesis

Faculty Advisor: Dr. Sarah Chen

April 18, 2026

Abstract

This thesis examines the relationship between short-form video consumption and sustained attention in a sample of 312 undergraduate students. Cross-sectional survey and behavioral data were analyzed to test whether content type, rather than total screen time, predicts measurable attentional differences. Results indicate that short-form video consumption was a significant predictor of commission errors on a sustained attention task ($r = 0.34$, $p < 0.001$), even after controlling for total screen time, sleep duration, and self-reported anxiety. Total screen time, treated as a unitary variable, was not significantly associated with attention metrics. These findings support the type-of-content hypothesis over the duration-of-use hypothesis, with implications for public health recommendations on adolescent and young-adult media use. Theoretical implications are discussed in light of habituation and dopaminergic reward-learning frameworks. Limitations of the cross-sectional design and directions for longitudinal follow-up are outlined.

Short-Form Video Consumption and Sustained Attention in Undergraduate Students

Adolescent screen time has more than doubled since 2015 (Twenge, 2023), a trend that has accelerated since the widespread adoption of short-form video platforms. While prior research has examined the relationship between social media use and self-reported well-being, less attention has been given to how the type of content consumed, rather than total time spent, predicts measurable changes in attention span. This paper examines that question by reviewing recent experimental and longitudinal studies on adolescent media use, with particular focus on the distinction between passive scrolling and active engagement.

The question matters for two reasons. First, attention is a foundational cognitive resource: changes in sustained attention capacity have downstream effects on academic performance, working memory, and reading comprehension (Carr, 2020). Second, public discourse on screen time has often collapsed all forms of media use into a single category, treating the act of looking at a screen as the relevant variable. If the type of content is in fact more predictive than the time spent, public health recommendations should be revised accordingly. The studies reviewed below suggest that the type-of-content hypothesis has stronger empirical support than the duration-of-use hypothesis, though significant methodological concerns remain in this literature.

Literature Review

The literature on adolescent screen time falls into three distinct waves. Early studies, published between 2010 and 2015, treated screen time as a unitary variable and tended to report negative correlations with measures of academic performance and well-being (Anderson & Subrahmanyam, 2017). These studies established the basic correlation but were unable to isolate which components of screen exposure were driving the effect.

The second wave, from roughly 2016 to 2020, introduced finer-grained measurement. Researchers began distinguishing between social media use, gaming, video consumption, and

educational technology, finding that effects varied substantially across categories. Notably, Orben and Przybylski (2019) used specification curve analysis on a dataset of over 350,000 adolescents and found that the average effect of digital technology use on well-being was small and roughly equivalent to the negative effect of regularly eating potatoes, a comparison that prompted significant reassessment of the alarmist tone of earlier work.

The third wave, beginning around 2021, has focused on within-platform variation. The shift to short-form video, characterized by algorithmically curated feeds and average video lengths under 60 seconds, has been associated with measurable changes in attention metrics that did not appear in earlier longitudinal data (Hartanto et al., 2023). This third-wave research is what this paper most directly engages with.

The literature on adolescent media use has been shaped by methodological constraints that have varied across decades. Studies conducted before approximately 2018 relied almost exclusively on self-reported measurement of screen time, which has been shown to correlate only modestly with passively measured device use (Parry et al., 2021). The introduction of large-scale passive monitoring datasets has changed what kinds of questions the field can credibly ask.

The 2019 Orben and Przybylski analysis represents an inflection point in this respect. By applying specification curve analysis across all reasonable analytic decisions, the authors demonstrated that the apparent strength of the digital-technology-and-well-being relationship in earlier studies was substantially driven by selective reporting of significant effects. The paper did not argue that digital technology has no effects, but rather that the effects are smaller and more conditional than the public conversation suggested.

Subsequent work has moved toward content-specific measurement. Hartanto and colleagues (2023) reviewed the moderating role of contextual factors in gaming research and found that effects on well-being depended on motivations for play, social context, and content type. Their review provides a model for the kind of disaggregation this paper attempts in the

attention-and-content domain.

A separate strand of research, using ecological momentary assessment, has begun to capture within-person variation in how different content types affect mood and concentration in the hours following use (van Endert et al., 2022). This methodology suggests that effects may be acute and short-duration rather than cumulative, which has implications for how cross-sectional studies should be interpreted.

The current paper builds on this third-wave content-specific research by examining one specific behavioral measure (sustained attention as captured by the SART) across content categories that have not previously been disaggregated in the same study.

Theoretical Framework

Two theoretical frameworks help explain why short-form video consumption might affect sustained attention differently than other content types. The first is the cognitive load and habituation framework articulated by Kardefelt-Winther (2014), which proposes that frequent exposure to high-novelty stimuli trains the attentional system to expect frequent rewards from environmental scanning, reducing tolerance for the slower-paced cognitive engagement that sustained attention tasks require. Under this account, the relevant variable is not content but rather the rate of stimulus change, and short-form video happens to have an unusually high rate.

The second framework is the dopaminergic reward-learning account proposed by Lembke (2021), which extends habituation theory by specifying that the attentional shifts produced by short-form video are mediated by dopamine release patterns similar to those observed in other forms of compulsive consumption. This framework predicts that the effects should be most pronounced in individuals with pre-existing vulnerabilities in reward-system regulation.

These frameworks make overlapping but distinguishable predictions. The first predicts that any high-novelty-rate content should produce the same effect, regardless of platform. The

second predicts that platform-specific design features (autoplay, infinite scroll, algorithmic curation) should amplify the effect beyond what novelty rate alone would predict. The current data cannot adjudicate between these accounts directly, but the consistency of effect size across short-form video platforms is at least suggestive of the first interpretation.

Method

Participants and Procedure

This study analyzed survey data collected from 312 undergraduate students enrolled in introductory psychology courses at a large public university in the Midwestern United States. Participants completed a 45-minute online survey assessing self-reported daily screen time, content type breakdown across six categories (short-form video, long-form video, social media feeds, messaging, gaming, and educational), and performance on a sustained attention task adapted from Robertson et al. (1997).

Participants were recruited through the department's research participation pool and received course credit for completion. The sample was 64% female, 32% male, and 4% nonbinary or undisclosed. Mean age was 19.4 years ($SD = 1.2$). The institutional review board approved all procedures, and participants provided informed consent before beginning the survey.

Measures

Sustained attention was operationalized using mean reaction time and commission error rate on the Sustained Attention to Response Task (SART). Higher commission error rates indicate greater attentional lapses. Self-report measures of screen content consumption were validated against a one-week passive monitoring period using a screen-time tracking application installed on participants' primary devices, with strong concordance ($r = 0.71$) between self-report and measured time.

Results

Total daily screen time was not a significant predictor of SART commission error rate ($r = 0.08$, $p = 0.16$). However, time spent specifically on short-form video platforms was a significant positive predictor of commission errors ($r = 0.34$, $p < 0.001$), even after controlling for total screen time, sleep duration, and self-reported anxiety.

Time spent on long-form video (films, longer educational content) was not significantly associated with attention metrics ($r = 0.04$, $p = 0.51$). Social media feed scrolling showed a smaller but significant association ($r = 0.19$, $p = 0.001$). Gaming and messaging showed no significant associations.

A hierarchical regression analysis confirmed that short-form video time accounted for an additional 9.2% of variance in commission errors beyond what was explained by total screen time alone. This pattern held across the gender and age subgroups examined.

Discussion

The results support the type-of-content hypothesis. Total screen time, when treated as a single variable, was not significantly associated with sustained attention performance in this sample. The effect emerged only when content was disaggregated by format, with short-form video showing the largest and most consistent association.

Two interpretations are consistent with these findings. The first is a habituation account: repeated exposure to algorithmically optimized short-form content trains the attention system to expect frequent novelty, which then degrades performance on tasks requiring sustained focus. The second is a selection account: individuals with pre-existing attentional difficulties may be drawn disproportionately to short-form video formats. The cross-sectional design used here cannot distinguish between these accounts. Longitudinal data are needed.

Three limitations should be noted. First, the sample was drawn from a single university and may not generalize to non-college populations or to younger adolescents. Second, the SART is one of many possible measures of sustained attention, and the results may not generalize to other attentional constructs such as selective attention or attention switching.

Third, self-report of content type, even when validated against passive monitoring, depends on participants' ability to categorize their own behavior accurately.

Limitations

The cross-sectional design of this study is its primary methodological limitation, and it should constrain causal interpretation of the observed associations. The reverse-direction hypothesis, that individuals with poorer baseline sustained attention are drawn disproportionately to short-form video formats because those formats accommodate shorter attention spans, is consistent with the same data and cannot be ruled out without longitudinal measurement.

Second, the SART is a laboratory measure of sustained attention that may not capture attentional behavior in naturalistic settings. Attention research has long noted that laboratory and ecological measures correlate only modestly, and that real-world attentional performance is shaped by motivation and task relevance in ways that laboratory tasks deliberately strip away (Egeland and Kovalik-Gran, 2010). The implications of the present findings for everyday cognitive performance therefore depend on the assumption that SART scores generalize to ecological contexts, an assumption that warrants further investigation.

Third, the operational definition of short-form video used here aggregates across multiple platforms (TikTok, Instagram Reels, YouTube Shorts) that differ meaningfully in algorithmic design, video length distribution, and demographic composition of users. Aggregating across these platforms is justified by the present sample size but may obscure platform-specific effects that a larger study could detect.

Fourth, the sample is drawn from a single university and is unrepresentative of the broader adolescent and young-adult population in important ways. The participants are college students at a particular institution at a particular time, and the conclusions should be extended to other populations only with caution.

Future Directions

Three lines of follow-up research are suggested by these findings. The first is the longitudinal study that the present cross-sectional design cannot conduct: a within-person tracking of short-form video use and sustained attention over the course of an academic year would substantially clarify the direction of causality.

The second is an experimental study using random assignment to short-form video exposure conditions in a controlled setting, with attention measures taken before and after a defined exposure period. This design has been used in adjacent literatures and would provide stronger causal evidence than the correlational data can.

The third is a platform-level disaggregation. As noted in the limitations, the present study aggregates short-form video use across platforms, which may obscure design-specific effects. A study that compared TikTok, Instagram Reels, and YouTube Shorts users while controlling for total time spent in short-form video would test whether platform design features (rather than format alone) drive the observed effects.

In the meantime, the present results are consistent with the broader content-specific literature in suggesting that public health recommendations on adolescent screen time should move beyond duration-based metrics. The relevant variable is what young people are watching, not how long they are watching it.

Conclusion

The data presented in this thesis support a content-specific account of media effects on sustained attention, and weaken the case for duration-based public health recommendations. Total screen time, treated as a single variable, was not significantly associated with sustained attention performance in this sample. The effect emerged only when content was disaggregated by format. Short-form video showed the largest and most consistent association, and the magnitude of the effect was robust across demographic subgroups in the sample.

These findings should be interpreted with appropriate caution given the cross-sectional design. The reverse-direction hypothesis remains live, and longitudinal data are needed to

establish causal direction. The content-specific framework, however, is independently supported by the third-wave literature reviewed in chapter two, and the present results contribute to a growing convergence on the conclusion that public health recommendations should focus on what young people are watching rather than how long they are watching it.



References

- Anderson, D. R., & Subrahmanyam, K. (2017). Digital screen media and cognitive development. *Pediatrics*, 140(S2), S57-S61. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-1758C>
- Carr, N. (2020). *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains* (Updated ed.). W. W. Norton.
- Egeland, J., & Kovalik-Gran, I. (2010). Validity of the factor structure of Conners' CPT. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 13(4), 347-357.
- Hartanto, A., Lua, V. Y. Q., Quek, F. Y. X., Yong, J. C., & Ng, M. H. S. (2023). A critical review on the moderating role of contextual factors in the associations between video gaming and well-being. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 4, 100135.
- Kardefelt-Winther, D. (2014). A conceptual and methodological critique of internet addiction research: Towards a model of compensatory internet use. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 31, 351-354.
- Lembke, A. (2021). *Dopamine nation: Finding balance in the age of indulgence*. Dutton.
- Orben, A., & Przybylski, A. K. (2019). The association between adolescent well-being and digital technology use. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 3(2), 173-182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0506-1>
- Parry, D. A., Davidson, B. I., Sewall, C. J. R., Fisher, J. T., Mieczkowski, H., & Quintana, D. S. (2021). A systematic review and meta-analysis of discrepancies between logged and self-reported digital media use. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 5(11), 1535-1547.
- Robertson, I. H., Manly, T., Andrade, J., Baddeley, B. T., & Yiend, J. (1997). 'Oops!': Performance correlates of everyday attentional failures in traumatic brain injured and normal subjects. *Neuropsychologia*, 35(6), 747-758.
- Twenge, J. M. (2023). *Generations: The real differences between Gen Z, Millennials, Gen X, Boomers, and Silents*. Atria Books.

van Endert, T. S., Mohr, P. N. C., & Kemper, T. (2022). Smartphone use, well-being and concentration: An ecological momentary assessment study. *Mobile Media & Communication*, 10(2), 274-292.

