

**Methods (Qualitative Research)**

Author's Name Surname

Department of X, Name of Institution

Course number: Course Title

Instructor's Name Surname

Month Day, Year



College Essay

## **Methods (Qualitative Research)**

This qualitative research employed an interpretive phenomenological approach to explore the lived experiences of individuals dealing with chronic pain. The study aimed to delve into the nuanced perceptions, coping mechanisms, and social dynamics surrounding chronic pain within the participants' daily lives. The research adhered to ethical guidelines outlined by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) and obtained informed consent from all participants prior to data collection.

### **Participants**

A purposive sampling technique was utilized to recruit a diverse group of individuals experiencing chronic pain. The inclusion criteria comprised adults aged 18 years and above, diagnosed with chronic pain lasting for six months or longer, and capable of providing rich narratives regarding their experiences. Participants were recruited through advertisements in local healthcare facilities, online chronic pain support groups, and community centers. A total of 15 participants (8 females, 7 males) aged between 24 and 65 years (mean age = 42 years) participated in the study. Semi-structured interviews served as the primary method of data collection, allowing participants to articulate their experiences, perspectives, and emotions related to chronic pain in-depth. The interviews were conducted in private settings, ensuring confidentiality and comfort for the participants. Each interview lasted approximately 60 to 90 minutes and was audio-recorded with participants' consent. The interview protocol included open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed narratives about participants' experiences with chronic pain, the impact on various aspects of their lives, coping strategies employed, and interactions with healthcare providers and social networks.

### **Data Collection**

Semi-structured interviews served as the primary method of data collection, allowing participants to articulate their experiences, perspectives, and emotions related to chronic pain in-depth. The interviews were conducted in private settings, ensuring confidentiality and comfort for the participants. Each interview lasted approximately 60 to 90 minutes and was audio-recorded with participants' consent. The interview protocol included open-ended questions designed to elicit detailed narratives about participants' experiences with chronic pain, the impact on various aspects of their lives, coping strategies employed, and interactions with healthcare providers and social networks.

## **Data Analysis**

The recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim and subjected to thematic analysis following the framework outlined by Braun and Clarke (2006). The analysis process involved multiple iterative stages to identify recurring themes, patterns, and variations in participants' narratives. Initially, two researchers independently familiarized themselves with the data, generating preliminary codes to capture key concepts and ideas. Subsequently, through collaborative discussions, the researchers refined the coding framework and organized codes into overarching themes that encapsulated participants' experiences with chronic pain. Discrepancies in coding and theme identification were resolved through consensus among the research team.

## **Trustworthiness**

To enhance the trustworthiness and rigor of the study findings, several strategies were employed, including prolonged engagement with the data, member checking to validate interpretations with participants, peer debriefing sessions to solicit feedback from colleagues, and maintaining an audit trail documenting methodological decisions and analytical processes. Additionally, reflexivity was acknowledged throughout the research process to recognize and mitigate the potential influence of researchers' biases and assumptions on data interpretation.

## **Ethical Considerations**

This study received ethical approval from the [Institutional Review Board (IRB)] of [Institution Name]. All participants provided informed consent prior to participation and were assured of confidentiality, anonymity, and the right to withdraw from the study at any stage without repercussions. Pseudonyms were assigned to participants to protect their identities in all research outputs.