

Rhetorical Analysis Essay Example

In Liz Addison's article, she emphasizes that 2-year community colleges should receive much more credit than they currently do. She argues that college is a period for students to explore themselves and their passions; those who have made it into 4 year universities have already demonstrated their prowess by completing the rigorous entrance requirements. Contrarily, community colleges accept all applicants regardless of academic achievement—which speaks volumes about the value of these institutions!

Addison strongly believes community colleges are great American institutions that grant opportunity to the public and enable those who might not have another chance of higher education an admirable advantage. To begin her article, she cites Rick Perlstein's opinion before proceeding to explain why no tests may be the most beneficial component. In doing so, this allows a unique perspective on how obtaining entrance into one of these institutes can impact people's lives positively in ways others don't necessarily recognize. Addison's primary point is that community colleges are often disregarded, yet Perlstein has never stepped foot into one. Consequently, she firmly disagrees with him and uses his views to form a rebuttal. She believes he does not have the authority to make claims about life in these institutions without any firsthand experience.

Although Addison repeatedly mentions Perlstein, her primary emphasis is on the significance of community colleges. Through reiteration, she emphasizes that these educational institutes are essential for America's future. She believes passionately in the potential of those enrolled in two-year programs—those students who often come with a blank slate and thus have more room to truly grow and develop within their education. In this article, Addison attempts to convince readers of the advantages of community colleges. I find myself in agreement with her point—community college is an invaluable presence within our society! Yet unfortunately, there's a mocking tone that detracts from her words. By recognizing how essential community colleges are for everyone, we can come together and appreciate them on their own merits—without any additional arrogance or disdain. Highlighting Perlstein was within reason, but the manner in which she did so came across as off-putting. Her point would have been more powerful if conveyed respectfully - an oversight with regards to ethos. Using such a harsh tone may make her appear unreasonable and unapproachable to many readers.