

Steveatkinson@gmail.com steveatkinson@... Judicial Independence and Democratic Stability_____

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::3618:128533029

Submission Date

Feb 23, 2026, 10:02 AM GMT+5

Download Date

Feb 18, 2026, 10:27 AM GMT+5

File Name

Judicial Independence and Democratic Stability.pdf

File Size

135.3 KB

4 Pages

726 Words

4,056 Characters

0% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

Match Groups

-  **0 Not Cited or Quoted 0%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 0%  Internet sources
- 0%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Match Groups

-  **0 Not Cited or Quoted 0%**
Matches with neither in-text citation nor quotation marks
-  **0 Missing Quotations 0%**
Matches that are still very similar to source material
-  **0 Missing Citation 0%**
Matches that have quotation marks, but no in-text citation
-  **0 Cited and Quoted 0%**
Matches with in-text citation present, but no quotation marks

Top Sources

- 0%  Internet sources
- 0%  Publications
- 0%  Submitted works (Student Papers)

Judicial Independence and Democratic Stability

Name

Institution Name

Course Name

Instructor Name

Date



Judicial Independence and Democratic Stability

Judicial independence, which is the ability of the courts to rule on cases without the influence of political or external factors, is generally acknowledged to be one of the pillars of a democratic government and the rule of law. The presence of an independent judiciary guarantees legal controversies are determined on legal grounds by excluding political preference, which forms the basis of public confidence, constitutional protection, and balanced democratic stability. The scholarly literature confirms the importance of judicial autonomy as nearly a norm, as well as its empirical implications regarding the effect on the stability of democracy on a global scale. First, academics believe that judicial independence prevents a lack of democracy since it places checks and balances on the various branches of government. Through the insulation of courts against unnecessary interference by elected officials, the courts act as a checkpoint to executive and legislative abuses (Landau, 2025). This division of powers averts the accumulation of political power and secures civil liberties since the interpretations of legal provisions are not based on partisan interests but constitutional concepts. Enhancement of judicial independence, scholars underscore, leads to believable and stable legal conditions that build democratic legitimacy.

Empirical studies also reveal that democratic erosion is related to political interference in the judicial processes. As an example, an ambitious synthetic control study has been used in comparative work on populist constitutional backsliding in Türkiye to estimate the effect of constitutional reform on judicial independence (Garoupa & Spruk, 2025). It concludes that the structural reforms that centralized political power in judiciary caused a statistically significant and long-lasting reduction in judicial independence, undermining institutional restraints on the power of the executive and leading to instability in the democracy. These results highlight the fact that in the case of the erosion of judicial independence, democratic institutions become susceptible to authoritarian drift, lose social confidence in the judicial process, and degrade

democratic norms.

In the same way, the literature on democratic consolidation in the fourth Republic of Nigeria (1999-2025) has found a close relationship between the independence of the judiciary and democratic stability. Although this situation improved over the years, the research concludes that the continued executive and legislative calculation into judicial appointments and financial independence seriously impaired the ability of the judiciary to adjudicate on political conflicts impartially. Whilst the phase of greater judicial autonomy helped to bring about short-term democratic stability, fluctuations in autonomy levels allowed electoral manipulation and eroded citizen trust in democratic institutions (Edoghogho et al., 2025). These practical observations depict that democratic stability does not only depend on an expression of formal constitutional safeguards but also on the workability and continuity of judicial independence in its exercise.

The scholarly sources indicate that the interaction between judicial independence and political competition differs depending on the regime. In well-established and competitive democracies, robust judicial independence is complemented by political pluralism, which contributes to the stability of democracies by making the institutions trustworthy and ensuring that the laws are applied impartially. Conversely, in developing or transitional democracies, strong politics where there is no strong judicial protection mechanisms may pressure judicial independence, resulting in weakened judicial control, partisanship, and undermining of democratic values. Such trends underscore the idea that the independence of the judiciary is not a formalized notion but a realistic requirement for the solidarity of democracy. To be effective in stabilizing democracy, courts also require their independence through transparency in 4 appointment, constitutional safeguards, independence in finances, and must be in line with the constitutional checks and balances.

In conclusion, it confirms that judicial independence is crucial in democratic stability. Independent courts play an objective role, maintain constitutional checks and balances, and

establish no domination of political influence over legal proceedings. Comparative institutional studies indicate empirically that with a decline in judicial independence; democracy is threatened by poor checks and balances as well as loss of popular confidence. The consolidation and stabilization of the democratic regimes in the modern world remains, however, dependent on the strengthening of judicial independence achieved by the transparent appointments, the stability of tenure, financial independence, and constitutional protection.