

**Should Countries Prioritize Economic Growth Over Environmental Protection During
Financial Crises?**

Name

Institution Name

Course Name

Instructor Name

Date

Should Countries Prioritize Economic Growth Over Environmental Protection During Financial Crises?

Governments are faced with difficult choices on what to do when facing a financial crisis: to concentrate on the financial or environmental sector and in the case of the Japanese and Japanese earthquake thematic, the choices chosen by government and the impacts of these choices have far-long term effects on survival. Since the ability to maintain economic stability is generally considered a necessity in a crisis, it is rather understandable that good GDP growth can afford to reduce unemployment rates, stabilize the markets, and provide governments with a necessary revenue to fund social safety nets, healthcare, and work programs. The performance of the economy in the post-past depressions, including the international crisis of 2008-9, has been characterized by good economic results, and it constitutes a fast recovery of living standards and investment amounts in the economy, and this supports the conventional supposition that the occurrence of financial crises would emphasize economic expansion (De-Prado-Herrera & Garrido-Gonzeta, 2023). However, prioritizing short-run economic development at the expense of environmental protection ignores the fact that economic welfare and ecological welfare are not independent of each other, which may not be beneficial to further prosperity if the ecosystems are not preserved in a good state. Despite the necessity of economic growth in the case of the state financial crisis, growth should not be established at the expense of the environment as long-term survival and stability demand combined policies, which would offer economic recovery along with ecological well-being.

The consideration of economic growth and environmental protection as two irreconcilable objectives is increasingly being reflected in practical experience and scientific literature, supporting a combination of approaches. Recent literature suggests that the concept of

a certain trade-off between economic and environmental objectives is simplistic and that properly structured policies can achieve both simultaneously. Studies of the effect of carbon emission on GDP indicate that although certain emerging economies fail to lower environmental destruction as they develop, others have demonstrated that they can lower ecological expenses as a result of their growth by adopting renewable energy, as well as cleaner technologies, implying that environmental protection could be harmonized with economic growth with policy options like investing in renewed energy and cleaner technologies (Naz et al., 2024). This fact puts in question the longstanding dichotomy between growth and sustainability and emphasizes the significance of strategic environmental policies in economic planning.

Failure to protect the environment in case of financial crises can, therefore, cause ecological damage that has a long-term effect of disabling economic prospects. For instance, public health, food security, and ecosystem services that make productive economies can be disrupted by environmental degradation, which may include an increase in carbon emissions, biodiversity loss, and pollution. Moreover, studies on economic growth in other parts of the world have indicated that high rates of economic growth will be accompanied by increased ecological footprints, and this may lead to increased environmental damage that negatively impacts the economies (Çakmak & Acar, 2022). Consequently, these are major costs that arise in the long term, such as healthcare costs related to pollution, agricultural productivity costs, etc., and thus, this implies that in the event of economic recessions, prioritizing the environmental standards would serve to increase economic vulnerabilities in the future.

Environmental safeguards may also be crucial in business opportunities, especially in the case of crisis rescue. Renewable energy infrastructure, green tech innovations, and sustainable job creation are not only creating less pressure on the environment but also creating employment,

technologizing technology advancement, as well as economic diversification. Indicatively, research studies show that green technologies and foreign direct investment are significant in sustainable economic growth in established economies, which confirms that environmental policies do not contradict economic objectives but can be used as a complement (Wani et al., 2024). Critics point to the fact that tightening of environmental policies can have the effect of imposing extra short-term expenses on struggling industries during downturns and potentially retard recovery. Compliance costs may rise suddenly, and this may result in a loss of jobs or a lack of competitiveness without proper support. The policymakers should therefore deploy tactical, incremental strategies to enable industries to cope with green finance, retraining, and clean energy subsidies (Shan and Ji, 2024).

In conclusion, economic growth is a legitimate issue during a financial crisis; however, it should not be promoted at the cost of environmental protection, as this can decrease long-term sustainability and economic resilience. To ensure sustainable development and prosperity in the future, a balanced policy framework that will facilitate economic recovery and promote environmental objectives must be enforced.

References

- Çakmak, E. E., & Acar, S. (2022). The nexus between economic growth, renewable energy and ecological footprint: An empirical evidence from most oil-producing countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 352, 131548.
- De-Prado-Herrera, M.-L., & Garrido-González, L. (2023). Great global financial recession (2008–2013). In *The Age of Global Economic Crises* (pp. 127–171). Routledge.
<https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/chapters/edit/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003388128-6&type=chapterpdf>
- Naz, F., Tanveer, A., Karim, S., & Dowling, M. (2024). The decoupling dilemma: Examining economic growth and carbon emissions in emerging economic blocs. *Energy Economics*, 138, 107848. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2024.107848>
- Shan, C., & Ji, X. (2024). Environmental regulation and green technology innovation: An analysis of the government subsidy policy's role in driving corporate green transformation. *Industrial Engineering and Innovation Management*, 7(1), 39–46.
- Wani, M. J. G., Loganathan, N., & Esmail, H. A. H. (2024). Impact of green technology and energy on green economic growth: Role of FDI and globalization in G7 economies. *Future Business Journal*, 10(1), 43. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-024-00329-1>